

## Economic Performance in Merger Operations

Irina Chiriac

*"Alexandru Ioan Cuza" University of Iasi, Institute of Interdisciplinary Research,  
Social Sciences and Humanities Research Department, Romania*

[irinaochiriac@yahoo.com](mailto:irinaochiriac@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

*The role of mergers has grown considerably in the new economic context. Since the end of the last century, the waves of mergers have raised different questions: Why are mergers occurring, what are the reasons? Does the merger generate the growth of the economic position and performance of the companies? Who benefits and who loses from the merger? Under the conditions of an increasingly competitive environment, the application of the best strategies by each company became imperative. The analysis of the financial performance has a determining role in establishing the strategy to be followed by the absorbing companies. The research hypothesis is: the merger operation has a positive impact on the financial position of the absorbing companies. Following the analysis of the financial position of the absorbing companies, it can be said that it is improving.*

**Key words:** mergers, economic performance, shareholders

**J.E.L. classification:** G34, M21

### 1. Introduction

The activity of mergers and acquisitions is strongly influenced by the events in the global economic scene, which makes it difficult to make a forecast regarding the evolution of the merger activity in the medium and long term. In today's business environment there is a simple rule that applies to businesses: they either grow or disappear. Maintaining and improving the performance of companies during the period of mergers and acquisitions, with proper risk management, is a major challenge for businesses in the current business environment.

Regarding the performance of the merger operations, there is a great diversity of the results obtained. This fact is due to the diversity of the results of the samples used both in terms of geographical areas, analysis periods, types of operations, as well as in the sectors of activity. While some studies show that mergers lead to an increase in performance, others reveal a insignificant change or even a major deterioration in performance as a result of the merger transaction.

The analysis of the economic and accounting performance is done to compare before and after the external growth operation, starting from the evolution of the performance indicators. Among the most used indicators are the rate of economic return, the rate of financial return, the evolution of sales or market shares.

### 2. Literature review

Since the end of the last century, the waves of mergers have raised different questions: Why are mergers occurring, what are the reasons? Does the merger generate the growth of the economic position and performance of the companies? Who benefits and who loses from the merger? Given the wide range of those interested in the results of this process - namely, shareholders, managers, employees, consumers, society in general - a clear answer to this problem that satisfies all parties is unlikely to be given. Mergers are the main means by which companies can increase their activity and enter new markets, national enterprises becoming multinational companies.

Studies conducted on various companies have found that the first reason for mergers to occur is to increase the position and performance of the absorbing companies.

Table no. 1. Reasons for managers to perform mergers

| Objectives for the realization of mergers                      | Answers (in %) |
|--|----------------|
| Increased financial performance and position                   | 33 %           |
| Increased market share and faster penetration in other markets | 28 %           |
| Acquisition of new technologies and skills                     | 22 %           |
| Elimination of competitors                                     | 11 %           |
| Economies of scale   | 6 %            |

Source: Mantravadi and Reddy (2008, p.193)

Despite the popularity of the merger strategy, many of them do not produce the expected benefits. Although the benefits and costs of the merger have been frequently studied, controversies remain not only about the size of the net result of the companies but also about the sign, generating questions such as: Could a loss be recorded as a result of the merger? Do shareholders actually win? Previous empirical studies have investigated the impact of the merger on the financial position and performance of companies. These empirical studies can be divided into three groups: studies that reported a positive impact on position and performance, studies that reported a negative impact, and studies that did not find a significant impact on the performance of enterprises. The latest US studies using more sophisticated techniques to measure changes in post-merger position and performance tend to show that they remain unchanged (Moeller, Schlingemann Stulz, Ghosh, 2004) or significantly improve after takeover (Heron, Lie, Linn, Switzer, 2002).

The findings of the UK studies are much more contradictory, with authors Dickerson, Gibson and Tsakalotos finding a significant decline in performance and post-merger position, while authors Powell and Stark show significant growth. Similar to UK studies, Asian studies also yield contradictory results. Empirical evidence shows that Japanese mergers have a negative impact on the financial position and performance of absorbing companies (Kruse, Park, Suzuki, 2013), Malaysian takeovers are associated with better post-merger financial performance and position (Rahman, Limmack, 2004), while mergers in Australia lead to insignificant changes in the profitability of the target company after taking over (Sharma, Ho, 1989).

For mainland Europe, researchers Gugler, Mueller, Yurtoglu and Zulehner point to a significant drop in sales but a significant increase in profit. From the study of the specialized literature it is observed that the majority of the absorbing companies have performance before the operation of the forks. The authors Jensen and Ruback, Andrade, Mitchell and Stafford, Goergen and Renneboog or Campa and Hernando revealed, following the analysis of the stock market evolution, that those who earn the most from the mergers and acquisitions are the shareholders of the target companies, while the buyers seem to escape the "fingers" gain. Table 2 provides an overview of these studies.

Table no. 2. Overview of the empirical studies on the impact of the merger on performance

| Authors  | Dates     | Country  | Sample | Indicators                      | Grouping by  |
|--|-----------|----------|--------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| <i>Studies that show an improvement in post-merger performance</i> |           |          |        |                                 |              |
| Powell, Stark (2005)   | 1985-1993 | UK       | 191    | ROE                             | Company size |
| Rahman, Limmack (2004)   | 1988-1992 | Malaysia | 113    | Global financial economy<br>ROE | Industry     |
| Heron, Lie (2002)  | 1985-1997 | USA      | 859    | Net profit                      | Industry     |
| Linn, Switzer (2001)   | 1967-1987 | USA      | 413    | Financial stability rate<br>ROE | Industry     |
| Parrino, Harris (1999)   | 1982-1987 | USA      | 197    | Net cash                        | Industry     |
| Switzer (1996)   | 1967-1987 | USA      | 324    | Net cash<br>Net profit<br>ROE   | Industry     |

|   |               |  |                          |                         |                          |
|---|---------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Healy, Palepu, Ruback (1992)  | 1979-1984     | USA  | 50                       | Gross Cash Flow         | Industry                 |
| Moeller, Schlingemann (2004)  | 1985- 1995    | USA  | 2.362                    | Liquidity rates, ROE    | Industry                 |
| Gugler, Mueller, Yurtoglu,Zulehner (2003)                               | 1981- 1998    | Mondial  | 2.753                    | Sales income            | Industry                 |
| <i>Studies that did not find a significant impact on performance</i>    |               |  |                          |                         |                          |
| Sharma, Ho (2002)   | 1986-1991     | Australia  | 36                       | Global debt ratio       | Industry<br>Company size |
| Ghosh (2001)  | 1981-1995     | Global   | 315                      | ROE                     | Industry<br>Company size |
| Herman, Lowenstei (1988)  | 1975-1983     | USA  | 56                       | Net profit<br>ROE       | Ungrouping               |
| Mueller (1980)  | '50, '60, '70 | Belgium, Germany, UK, USA, France, Holland, Sweden | different in the country | Profit net              | Industry<br>Company size |
| Lev, Mandelker (1972)   | 1952-1963     | USA  | 69                       | Financial autonomy rate | Industry<br>Company size |
| MartynovaOosting,Re nnebo (2006)  | 1997-2001     | Europe   | 155                      | Profit net<br>EBIT      | Industry                 |
| <i>Studies that show a deterioration of the post-merger performance</i> |               |  |                          |                         |                          |
| Kruse,Park Suzuki (2002)  | 1969-1992     | Japan  | 46                       | Cash net                | Industry<br>Company size |
| Yeh, Hoshino (2001)   | 1970-1994     | Japan  | 86                       | Financial working fund  | Industry                 |
| Dickerson, Gibson, Tsakalotos (1997)                                    | 1948-1977     | UK   | 1.443                    | General solvency rate   | Industry                 |
| Clark,Ofek (1994)   | 1981-1988     | USA  | 38                       | EBIT                    | Industry                 |
| Meeks (1977)  | 1964-1972     | UK   | 223                      | Gross profit            | Industry                 |
| Hogarty (1970)  | 1953-1964     | USA  | 43                       | EPS                     | Industry                 |

Source: own processing

The results of previous empirical studies are inconsistent because their position and financial performance cannot be measured from a single indicator, and the results are different although many of them have been performed in the same geographical regions and for comparable time periods.

### 3. Research methodology

The research approach has an empirical character, proposing to validate its working hypotheses through a deductive-inductive process. The study uses methods specific to the quantitative (positivist) approach.

#### 3.1. Purpose and objectives of the research

In the research I started from the finding that, regarding the reasons for the merger, the first place is the improvement of the financial position and the performances of the absorbing company or the companies involved.. Table no.3 allows to establish a hierarchy of the reasons that determine the companies to resort to the merger, as a reorganization strategy.

Table no. 3. The reasons for the merger in Romania

| Mergers motives   | Percent |
|---|---------|
| Increased financial position and performance                              | 76 %    |
| Increasing market share   | 60%     |
| Simplifying the decision-making process and reducing administrative costs | 55%     |
| Increasing sales capacity   | 30%     |
| Increased bargaining power with suppliers, customers                      | 10%     |
| Better allocation of resources  | 15%     |
| Better use of existing facilities and workforce                           | 15%     |

Source: own processing

Given the fact that in the top of the preferences of the Romanian companies that resort to the merger is the reason for the improvement of the performances and the financial position and that the studies undertaken at international level have led to different conclusions, we propose to analyze whether the mergers in our country of the last period have achieved this objective.

Given our research approach, we resorted to establishing the following hypotheses::

*Hypothesis 1: The merger operation has a positive impact on the financial position of the absorbing companies;*

*Hypothesis 2: The merger operation has a negative impact on the financial position of the absorbing companies.*

### 3.2. Population and sample

The target population used in the analysis is as follows: Companies listed on the Bucharest Stock Exchange. The sample analyzed: 61 companies; the criterion taken into account - absorbing companies that have the merger project published in the Official Gazette of Romania, part IV during the period 2017-2018.

Data source: Central University Library Iasi, where I consulted the Official Gazette of Romania part IV. Information: collection from the merger projects by absorption of the companies data from the merger financial statements.

The analysis was carried out for two years: one year before the merger and one year after the merger.

Analysis tools and procedures: data collection by observation method, data sorting and grouping, tables, graphs. The study is based on the method of economic-financial analysis that contains a set of methodological steps and procedures to establish and quantify the causal relationships between the studied indicators and the determinants: comparison of financial statements, analysis by rates (Ratios), use of the computer tool for data storage, calculating rates, determining financial balances, determining the evolution in time and space of the indicators.

## 4. Findings

In order to ensure the comparability of the data, we resorted to identifying the evaluation method used by the companies involved in the merger process in Romania during the analyzed period.

Table no. 4. The valuation method used by Romanian companies

|                          | Frequencies |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Valid                    |             |
| Updated cash flow method | 5           |
| The patrimonial method   | 56          |
| Method of comparison     | 0           |
| Total                    | 61          |

Source: own processing using the SPSS 19.0 statistical program

Thus, out of the 61 absorbing companies, we used to analyze the impact of the merger on the financial position and the performance of the entities, the 56 companies that used the patrimonial valuation method.

Before proceeding to the actual analysis of the impact of the merger on the financial position of the analyzed entities, we propose to present a situation of the changes undergone by the structure rates of the assets and liabilities positions for the 56 analyzed companies.

Table no. 5. The evolution of the structure rates of the assets by domains

| Changes in the rate of fixed assets after the merger     |          |            |          |                   |           |       |
|--|----------|------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-------|
|  | Industry |            |          |                   |           | Total |
|  | Commerce | Production | Services | Financial service | Transport |       |
| Number of companies where the indicator decreased        | 6        | 5          | 4        | 3                 | 3         | 21    |
| Number of companies where the indicator has grown        | 13       | 9          | 5        | 6                 | 3         | 34    |
| Total  | 19       | 14         | 9        | 8                 | 6         | 56    |
| Changing the stock rate after the merger                 |          |            |          |                   |           |       |
|  | Industry |            |          |                   |           | Total |
|  | Commerce | Production | Services | Financial service | Transport |       |
| Number of companies where the indicator decreased        | 10       | 6          | 8        | 5                 | 3         | 32    |
| Number of companies where the indicator has grown        | 8        | 9          | 4        | 2                 | 1         | 24    |
| Total  | 18       | 15         | 12       | 7                 | 4         | 56    |
| Changing the rate of money availability after the merger |          |            |          |                   |           |       |
|  | Industry |            |          |                   |           | Total |
|  | Commerce | Production | Services | Financial service | Transport |       |
| Number of companies where the indicator decreased        | 8        | 6          | 5        | 2                 | 1         | 22    |
| Number of companies where the indicator has grown        | 11       | 8          | 7        | 6                 | 2         | 34    |
| Total  | 19       | 14         | 12       | 8                 | 3         | 56    |
| Changing the debt ratio after the merger                 |          |            |          |                   |           |       |
|  | Industry |            |          |                   |           | Total |
|  | Commerce | Production | Services | Financial service | Transport |       |
| Number of companies where the indicator decreased        | 14       | 11         | 10       | 5                 | 2         | 43    |
| Number of companies where the indicator has grown        | 6        | 3          | 2        | 2                 | 1         | 13    |
| Total  | 20       | 14         | 12       | 7                 | 3         | 56    |
| Changing the rate of current assets after the merger     |          |            |          |                   |           |       |
|  | Industry |            |          |                   |           | Total |
|  | Commerce | Production | Services | Financial service | Transport |       |
| Number of companies where the indicator decreased        | 13       | 9          | 4        | 3                 | 2         | 31    |
| Number of companies where the indicator has grown        | 6        | 6          | 8        | 4                 | 1         | 25    |
| Total  | 19       | 15         | 12       | 7                 | 3         | 56    |

Source: own processing

Regarding the rate of fixed assets, it is observed that most of the absorbing companies have registered an increase. In most of the absorbing companies, the rate of inventories decreased. In terms of the availability rate, most companies have registered an increase and the Debt rate has decreased for most companies.

Most companies recorded a decrease in the rate of current assets.

As a general observation, all the companies with significant changes in the structure rates of the asset are in the trade field.

Table no. 6. The evolution of the structure rates of the liabilities by domains

| <b>Changing the share of equity in total liabilities</b>                  |                    |  |                 |           |       |
|---|--------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|-------|
|   |                    | Number of companies to which the indicator |                 |           | total |
|   |                    | Decreases                                  | Does not change | Increases |       |
| Industry  | Commerce           | 5  | 0               | 17        | 22    |
|   | Production         | 4  | 0               | 7         | 11    |
|   | Services           | 4  | 1               | 5         | 9     |
|   | Financial services | 2  | 0               | 8         | 10    |
|   | Transport          | 1  | 0               | 3         | 4     |
| Total   |                    | 12   | 1               | 43        | 56    |
| <b>Modificarea ponderii capitalului permanent in total pasiv</b>          |                    |  |                 |           |       |
|   |                    | Number of companies to which the indicator |                 | total     |       |
|   |                    | Decrease                                   | Increase        |           |       |
| Industry  | Commerce           | 7  | 12              | 19        |       |
|   | Production         | 5  | 10              | 15        |       |
|   | Services           | 4  | 8               | 12        |       |
|   | Financial services | 1  | 5               | 6         |       |
|   | Transport          | 0  | 4               | 4         |       |
| Total   |                    | 18   | 38              | 56        |       |
| <b>Changing the share of long-term liabilities in total liabilities</b>   |                    |  |                 |           |       |
| Count   |                    |  |                 |           |       |
|   |                    | Number of companies to which the indicator |                 |           | Total |
|   |                    | Decreases                                  | Does not change | Increases |       |
| Industry  | Commerce           | 3  | 9               | 7         | 19    |
|   | Production         | 4  | 8               | 2         | 14    |
|   | Services           | 3  | 7               | 2         | 12    |
|   | Financial services | 2  | 4               | 1         | 7     |
|   | Transport          | 1  | 3               | 0         | 4     |
| Total   |                    | 13   | 35              | 8         | 56    |
| <b>Changing the weight of short-term liabilities in total liabilities</b> |                    |  |                 |           |       |
|   |                    | Number of companies to which the indicator |                 | Total     |       |
|   |                    | Decrease                                   | Increase        |           |       |
| Industry  | Commerce           | 13   | 7               | 20        |       |
|   | Production         | 9  | 6               | 15        |       |
|   | Services           | 8  | 5               | 13        |       |
|   | Financial services | 5  | 2               | 7         |       |
|   | Transport          | 1  | 0               | 1         |       |
| Total   |                    | 36   | 20              | 56        |       |

Source: own processing

Regarding the share of equity in total liabilities, it is noted that most of the absorbing companies have registered an increase. The share of permanent capital in total liabilities registered an increase in most absorbing companies.

Regarding long-term debt, it is noted that their share in total liabilities, in most companies does not change.

As a general observation, all the companies with significant changes in the structure rates of the asset are in the trade field.

Starting from the values of the balance sheet items for the analyzed companies, we proceeded to determine average values of the structure indicators, values that are presented in the following table.

Table no. 7. The evolution of the value of the financial position after the m

| Indicators                                       | Values before merger | Value after merger | Explanations               |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Rate of fixed assets</b>                      | 61%                  | 53%                | $R_{ai}=A_i/A_t$           |
| <b>Accepted value</b>                            | 60%                  | 60%                | MAXIM                      |
| <b>Index of growth of fixed assets</b>           | 100%                 | 114                | $A_i=A_{in}/A_{i0}$        |
| <b>Growth index of total assets</b>              | 100%                 | 127%               | $A_t=A_{tn}/A_{t0}$        |
| <b>Turnover growth index</b>                     | 100%                 | 206%               | $CA=C_{an}/C_{a0}$         |
| <b>Rate of current assets</b>                    | 36%                  | 43%                | $R_{ac}=A_c/A_t$           |
| <b>Accepted value</b>                            | 40%                  | 40%                | Maxim                      |
| <b>Growth index of current assets</b>            | 100%                 | 149%               | $A_c=A_{cn}/A_{c0}$        |
| <b>Debt rate</b>                                 | 8%                   | 14%                | $R_{cr}=C_r/A_t$           |
| <b>Debt growth index</b>                         | 100%                 | 249%               | $C_r=C_{rn}/C_{r0}$        |
| <b>Availability rate</b>                         | 1,3%                 | 2,1%               | $R_{dp}=D_p/A_t$           |
| <b>Accepted value</b>                            | 2%                   | 2%                 | Maxim                      |
| <b>Availability growth index</b>                 | 100%                 | 218%               | $D_p=D_{pn}/D_{p0}$        |
| <b>Financial stability rate</b>                  | 39%                  | 59%                | $R_{sf}=C_{pm}/P_t$        |
| <b>Mimimum value</b>                             | 50%                  | 50%                | Minim                      |
| <b>Equity growth rate</b>                        | 100%                 | 183%               | $C_{pm}=C_{pmn}/C_{p0}$    |
| <b>Growth rate of total liabilities</b>          | 100%                 | 139%               | $P_t=P_{tn}/P_{t0}$        |
| <b>Rate of global financial autonomy</b>         | 25%                  | 12%                | $R_{at}=C_{pr}/P_t$        |
| <b>Minimum value</b>                             | 33%                  | 33%                | Minim                      |
| <b>Global debt ratio</b>                         | 72%                  | 67%                | $R_{ig}=D_t/P_t$           |
| <b>Maximum value</b>                             | 66%                  | 66%                | Maxim                      |
| <b>Growth index of total Debt</b>                | 100%                 | 115%               | $D_t=D_{tn}/D_t$           |
| <b>Term borrowing rate</b>                       | 37%                  | 43%                | $R_{it}=\hat{ITML}-C_{pm}$ |
| <b>Maximum value</b>                             | 50%                  | 50%                | Maxim                      |
| <b>Growth rate of medium and long-term loans</b> | 100%                 | 267%               | $ITML=ITML_n/ITML_0$       |

Source: own processing

The debt rate increases as a result of the merger, its pace exceeding the increase in turnover, which means an average duration of large and increasing receivables, will adversely affect the revenues of the company. However, the increase in the debt ratio following the merger is a favorable aspect because it is due to the increases in the availability. The availability rate shows the weight of the most liquid assets in total assets, that is the immediate liquidity degree of the current assets. The decrease in the volume of sales, the drastic decrease in the demand for products and services, the decrease of population incomes, the reduction of the number of jobs, very high increases of prices for raw materials, utilities and food, inflation, large variations of the exchange rate and the financial blockade generated by the receipts delayed caused the reduction of the availability of companies.

The availability rate improves as a result of the merger. The savings resulting from the common use of the equipment, the cost of production, the elimination of duplicate activities, the transfer of knowledge, etc. have led to an increase in the availability of companies. The turnover growth index

exceeds after the merger the index of availability increases, which means an increase of the receipts compared to the payments made and a higher speed of rotation of the current assets, with possibilities of increasing the results. The financial stability rate reflects the extent to which the company has permanent financial resources against the total liabilities. The prevalence of permanent capital in the total financial resources of the company confers a high degree of security through stability in financing. Deposits of companies below the 50% led businesses to use debt to finance current activities. Prior to the merger, the companies were characterized by an increased insolvency risk due to over-indebtedness.

The term debt ratio reflects the extent to which medium- and long-term loans participate in the formation of permanent resources. The condition that must be met is that the term debt ratio, calculated according to this formula, is less than 50%. The level of this rate is important for businesses in financial policy making and financial institutions when assessing an application for new credit from the company.

## 5. Conclusions

Claims rate increase following the merger, its pace exceeding the growth in turnover, which means an average Receivable large and growing, which will adversely affect the company's earnings. The turnover growth index exceeds after the merger the index of availability increases, which means an increase of the receipts compared to the payments made and a higher speed of rotation of the current assets, with possibilities of increasing the results. The preponderance of permanent capital in total liabilities confers a high degree of financial security. Net working capital growing and positive shows that all fixed assets are financed from permanent capital, which represents a favorable situation for companies. The need for working capital increases after the merger operation, so the company has current assets that are financed from permanent resources.

The ability of firms to meet their short-term obligations from current assets as well as from availability, increases as a result of the merger. The share capital covers the obligations to creditors and shareholders following the merger, which gives an optimum degree of solvency.

Permanent capitals regain their initial monetary form 12 days faster after the merger. As a result of the merger, the stocks recover their original money form in a much shorter time, by 49 days. The companies collect the value of the goods from the customers 15 days faster after the merger. The term of payment of the debts to the suppliers expires after the merger and is approaching the normal limit of 30 days.

Thus, the causes of the merger of the Romanian companies were: decrease of the volume of sales; decrease of population incomes; rising unemployment; rising inflation; large variations in the exchange rate; very large increases in prices for raw materials, utilities; sharp decline in demand for products or services; decrease of the cash availability of the enterprises; supratndatorarea; increase of debts to providers, employees and social insurance budget; late payments of receivables.

Among the effects of the merger operation on Romanian companies, we note: obtaining economies of scale; increasing the bargaining power with suppliers, which leads to lower prices for raw materials, utilities; increasing availability; short-term debt relief; financing the activity from own resources; increasing financial stability; reducing the total debt and reducing the risk of insolvency; recovering debts from turnover in 238 days faster; recovering the value of the stocks in 48 days faster; debt recovery 25 days faster; financial release.

As a conclusion regarding the impact of the merger on the financial position of the absorbing companies, it can be said that it is improving.

## 6. Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a grant of the « Alexandru Ioan Cuza » University of Iasi, within the Research Grants program, Grant UAIC, code GI-UAIC-2018-05.



## 7. References

- Abhijit, M., Hajro, A., 2009. *Integration in cross-border mergers and acquisitions*. The 27th International Conference of the System Dynamics Society
- Abhyankar, A., 2005. Long-Run Post-Merger Stock Performance of UK Acquiring Firms: Stochastic Dominance Perspective, *Applied Financial Economic*
- Adolph, G., Pettit, J, Sisk, M., 2009. *Merge Ahead: Mastering the Five Enduring Trends of Artful M&A*, Mc Graw Hill, Booz&Company Inc., USA
- Baldwin, J.R., Gorecki P.K., 1990. *Mergers Placed in the Context of Firm Turnover*. Annual Research Conference, US Department of Commerce
- Bancel, F., Duval-Hamel, J., 2008. *Fusions d'entreprises: Comment les gerer, comment les vivre*, Paris: Eyrolles, Editions d'Organisation.
- Capron, L., Dussuage, P., Mitchell, W., 1998. Resource redeployment following horizontal mergers and acquisitions in Europe and North America, 1988-1992. *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol.19, No. 7.
- Capron, L., Mitchell, W., Swaminathan, A., 2001. Asset divestiture following horizontal acquisitions: a dynamic view, *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol.2.
- Clark, K., Ofek, E., 1994. Mergers as a Means of Restructuring Distressed Firms: An Empirical Investigation. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 29.
- Dickerson, A.P., Gibson, H.D., Tsakalotos, E., 1997. *The impact of Acquisitions on Company Performance: Evidence from a large Panel of UK Firms*, Oxford Economic Papers, Oxford University Press, Vol. 49, Nr. 3
- Faccio, M., Masulis, R.W., 2005. The Choice of Payment Method in European Mergers and Acquisitions. *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 60, No. 3.
- Frankel, M.E.S., 2007. *Mergers and Acquisitions: Deal Makers. Building a Winning Team*, 2nd edition, Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley&Sons, Inc.
- Georgopoulos, G., 2008. Cross-border mergers and acquisitions: Does exchange rate matter? Some evidence for Canada. *Canadian Journal of Economics*.
- Geroski, P.A., 1984. On the relation between aggregate merger activity and the stock market. *European Economic Review*, Vol. 25, No. 2.
- Hitt M., Ireland R., Harrison J., 2006. *Mergers and Acquisitions: A Value Creating or Value Destroying Strategy?*, Blackwell Publishing
- Heron, R., Lie, E., 2002. Operating Performance and the Method of Payment in Takeovers. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 37.
- Kruse, T. A., Park, H.Y., Park, K., Suzuki, K.I. 2002. *The Value of Corporate Diversification: Evidence from Post-Merger Performance in Japan*. AFA 2003 Washington DC Meetings
- Rahman, R.A., Limmack, R.J., 2004. Corporate Acquisitions and the Operating Performance of Malaysian Companies, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 31.
- Moeller, S., Schlingemann, F., Stulz, R., 2004. Wealth Destruction on a Massive Scale? A Study of Acquiring-firm, Returns in the Recent Merger Wave. *The Journal of Finance*
- Mantravadi, P., Reddy, A.V., 2008. Post-Merger Performance of Acquiring Firms from Different Industries in India. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*
- Sharma, D.S., Ho, J., 2002. The Impact of Acquisitions on Operating Performance: Some Australian Evidence. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 29.