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Abstract

The competitive and dynamic nature of the business environment in the global economy determines managers to respond to a number of situations for which they need complex information. This has led to the need to develop new tools and to interconnect them with existing systems.

This paper presents aspects of the combined use of artificial intelligence techniques for the evolution of an economic entity based on a set of economic indicators over a long period of time. Based on the set of economic indicators, a measure $D(x)$ can be defined on the space of the forms defined by the set of these indicators, as it will characterize the analyzed economic activity at different times.
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1. Introduction

Generally, in the case of forecasting, indicators of an economic nature are used, indicators that are used in different techniques of artificial intelligence. Form Recognition is one of the techniques currently used in predicting and analyzing various economic indicators. To define a form, a set of indicators should be considered at a given time.

Figure no. 1. Diagnosis and prediction – conceptual schema
The plurality of forms is divided into classes through the pattern recognition component of the program, the set of forms is divided into classes. The renumbering of classes that go from negative evolution to positive evolution and whereby economic growth or decline can be highlighted, economic stagnation defines an orderly relation to the multitude of classes that have been achieved through the multiplicity of forms. The conceptual scheme for diagnosis, prediction and graphic representation is presented in Figure 1. (Morariu et al, 2009, pp.213-223), (Iancu, 2016, 102-112).

The database contains as inputs information about the evolution over time of the values of the set of indicators, and the output is represented by a qualitative variable containing the result of the activity evaluation using the classes that were defined by the size D (x).

2. Analyzing the activity of the economic entity using the graphical representation form

In the xOy coordinate system, a graphical representation of the economic activity of an economic entity can be obtained by defining the shapes that are represented in the plane on the Ox axis by points that actually represent the year corresponding to the form and y represents the class to which the respective form belongs. In order to be as plausible as possible, we must have as many classes as possible, given the number of data taken into account. Representing the economic entity’s activity from negative evolution to positive evolution is given by class numbering. In this respect, on the set of classes a relation order is defined according to the algorithm described below.

Let be a form x (x1, x2, ..., xn) with the characteristics xi normalized. The importance of the weighting characteristic in conducting these economic analyzes based on economic indicators is given precisely by these weights. These weights can represent some partial-type correlation coefficients that are actually results of a regression model, but at the same time these beams can be determined by some field experts (Morariu et al, 2009, pp.213-223).

In the calculation of the distance D(x) for each space form, the following formula is used (Morariu et al, 2009, pp.213-223):

\[ D(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i \]

The activity described by form x is measure D (x).

The calculation of the mean of M (c) for each type of class c is calculated using a formula of the type (Morariu et al, 2009, pp.213-223):

\[ M(c) = \left( \sum_{x \in c} D(x) \right) / p \]

where x represents those forms belonging to the class c class, and p represents the number of forms in class c. The average of the sizes in the distance D(x) is represented by the mean M (c) formed by the mean of the sizes D(x) belong to a class of type c (Iancu, 2011, pp.49-58).

For example, Class c1 is in relation "<" to class c2 if M (c1) <M (c2). Thus, on the set of classes, a relationship was established on the basis of which the classes would be renumbered to represent the activity analyzed from negative evolution to positive evolution.

We can consider the corresponding Dt1 (x), Dt2 (x) sizes for two time intervals t1 and t2, t1 <t2 are considered (Morariu, 2010, pp.87-90), (Morariu et al, 2009, pp.213-223):

- the positive evolution of the activity defined by form x at time t2 relative to t1 is given by the relationship of the two sizes where Dt1 (x) <Dt2 (x);
- the stagnation of the activity defined by form x at time t2 relative to t1 is given the relationship between the two sizes where Dt1 (x) = Dt2 (x);
- the negative evolution of the activity defined by form x at time t2 relative to t1 is given by the relation between the two sizes where Dt1 (x) > Dt2 (x).

For the mean of M (c) we can make a similar interpretation that will lead to the same result.

The evolution of the activity of an economic entity in the coordinate system xOy can be done with a more accurate graphical representation as follows: a point in the xOy plane is a form in which the Ax represents the time, and on the axis Oy is D (x) corresponding to the x form (figure 2).
3. Experimental results - macroeconomic prediction

The evolution of the indicators in the table below is considered:

Table no. 1. Indicators used in prediction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>1447</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>1723</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>1798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>16814</td>
<td>20135</td>
<td>23455</td>
<td>26700</td>
<td>30350</td>
<td>34300</td>
<td>38500</td>
<td>43000</td>
<td>47180</td>
<td>54889</td>
<td>57989</td>
<td>63505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>IG</td>
<td>22401</td>
<td>27481</td>
<td>33769</td>
<td>39820</td>
<td>45500</td>
<td>51650</td>
<td>58100</td>
<td>64700</td>
<td>66700</td>
<td>68720</td>
<td>73700</td>
<td>74800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>OP</td>
<td>20769</td>
<td>25458</td>
<td>31261</td>
<td>36850</td>
<td>42110</td>
<td>47800</td>
<td>53750</td>
<td>59850</td>
<td>68200</td>
<td>68735</td>
<td>70140</td>
<td>71070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ANE</td>
<td>9473</td>
<td>9290</td>
<td>9295</td>
<td>9305</td>
<td>9320</td>
<td>9335</td>
<td>9365</td>
<td>9545</td>
<td>9845</td>
<td>10430</td>
<td>10980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AE</td>
<td>5791</td>
<td>5669</td>
<td>5775</td>
<td>5875</td>
<td>5960</td>
<td>6025</td>
<td>6095</td>
<td>6145</td>
<td>6212</td>
<td>6401</td>
<td>6504</td>
<td>6709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>SMB</td>
<td>1864</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2158</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2510</td>
<td>2630</td>
<td>2745</td>
<td>2846</td>
<td>2898</td>
<td>2932</td>
<td>2989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>1859</td>
<td>1759</td>
<td>1723</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>1685</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>1597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>2436</td>
<td>2548</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>2772</td>
<td>2884</td>
<td>2996</td>
<td>3108</td>
<td>3220</td>
<td>3332</td>
<td>3444</td>
<td>3556</td>
<td>3668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>4656</td>
<td>5768</td>
<td>6880</td>
<td>7992</td>
<td>9104</td>
<td>10216</td>
<td>11328</td>
<td>12440</td>
<td>13552</td>
<td>14664</td>
<td>15776</td>
<td>16888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The shape features are given by the following macroeconomic indicators: AI, EG, IG, OP, ANE, AE, SMB, AC, FE, FV. The values of these indicators for a given year represent the forms. Considering the fact that the diagnosis cannot be made without diagnosis, it was taken as a time interval for the diagnosis of the years 2004-2009. For prediction, the years ranged between 2010 and 2015.
Using the data normalization operation and the unsupervised classification of the data using the threshold algorithm with threshold value = 1 as well as the Euclidean distance result a grouping of the forms in 5 classes numbered according to the given details. Network training rate is a number between 0 and 1 and plays an important role in the convergence of the learning process and the achievement of an optimal solution.

4. Conclusion

Relevant representations can be obtained through classes or $D(x)$. Class representation is even better as the number of classes is higher (the ideal case is when each form belongs to a new class).

For chaotic time series, prediction is a difficult issue to solve. A better approximation can be obtained using specific models for nonlinear systems (Galushkin, 2007), (Graupe, 2007), (Morariu, 2010). Thus, for the solution of complex problems, multilayer neural networks (Nikola, 1998), (Iancu, 2016, pp. 133-135), (Iancu, 2016, pp.25-28) can be used due to the ability to detect nonlinear dependencies in the input data.

The number of $k$ precedent values of type $x(t_1), x(t_2), ..., x(t_k)$ give the predicted value $x(t_k + 1)$ of an $x$ variable at a future time $tk + 1$.

Both the independent variables and the independent prediction independent variable are a function of time, but the predictive variable may be different from the independent variables.

Multivariate prediction can be achieved by using previous values of predictive values of independent values at a given time as well as variable dependent predictions of type $x$. Univariate predictions can be performed successfully with neural networks.

The neural network training procedure goes with network entrainment for as many years as possible so that the results are as eloquent as possible and then follow the predictions for the next period. The prediction for the next period is achieved by using previously obtained values that are used in the training process.
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